

Background



The Problem

- APRN role transition is overwhelmingly described as difficult and stressful¹⁻⁹

The Gap

- New graduate APRN (NG-APRN) fellowships were developed to help, but rigorous evidence on individual outcomes remains limited
- 40% of fellowship/residency articles report no outcomes data at all¹⁰

Purpose:

- Synthesize individual-level NG-APRN fellowship outcomes and examine limitations of the existing evidence

Methods

Design: Integrative review¹¹

Search: PRISMA-guided search Dec 2025

Inclusion:

- Peer-reviewed articles reporting individual-level outcomes
- NG-APRN-only fellowships >9 months
- Open to all APRN roles (NP, CNM, CNS, CRNA).

Exclusion:

- Articles evaluating only one fellowship component
- Non-APRN learners (e.g., PAs)
- Non-data-driven reports, or unpublished manuscripts.

Yield: 773 unique records → 7 articles included (N = 99 unique NG-APRN participants)

Articles: 2 qualitative^{12,13} and 5 program evaluation/QI¹⁴⁻¹⁸

Fellowship Outcomes

Fellowships are needed

- At entry, majority of NG-APRNs lacked confidence^{12-14,16}
- At entry, NG-APRNs were rated by self and mentors as not yet competent across most clinical domains¹⁶

Increased Competency:

- All 7 articles reported increased competency; 5 reported increased clinical knowledge^{12-14,16,18}
- In one VA cohort, self- and mentor-rated competency improved significantly across all domains ($p < .0001$) by fellowship completion¹⁶

Social & Emotional Benefits:

- Decreased anxiety^{12,13,15} and improved confidence^{12-15,17,18}
- High compassion satisfaction, low burnout¹⁸
- Strong sense of connection, belonging, support, and camaraderie within cohorts and learning teams^{12,13,15,17}
- Driven by dedicated mentorship, interdisciplinary team-based learning, and exposure to varied rotations/preceptors¹⁷



Methodological Limitations

No Universal Outcome Measurement

- Multiple proprietary tools used; most not tested for reliability/validity

Paucity of High-Quality Research

- Only 2 of 7 articles were research studies^{12,13}
- No power analyses, small samples, missing data
- Qualitative work lacked thick description, audit trails, rigorous methodology, and reflexivity^{12,13}

Limitations in research design:

- No comparison group - confounding variables offer competing explanations
- No standardized assessment techniques across programs
- Selection bias: Can't separate fellowship effect from pre-existing differences in who self-selects in

Self-report:

- All articles relied on self-report; limited outsider assessment of competency
- Not anonymous - risk of impression management and social desirability bias

Conclusions

- APRN role transition is distressing
- NG-APRN fellowships may
 - Improve confidence
 - Increase competency
 - Offer unique social and emotional benefits
- Methodological limitations are substantial and limit outcomes understanding:
 - Need objective, universal outcome measures
 - Need high-quality research with inferential statistical analysis
 - Need information on who self-selects for APRN fellowships

Help Close this Gap!

Without knowing who self-selects into fellowships, outcomes are vulnerable selection bias. Improvement may reflect pre-existing differences in APRNs who self-select into fellowships

This study examines the internal and external factors that differentiate NG-APRNs who pursue optional fellowships from those who don't



About:

- De-identified, confidential survey (~10-15 min)
- APRN students and NG-APRNs with ≤3 years of experience.
- First 200 participants can enter drawing for one of five \$200 gift cards



Full Survey



Brief Version



Anschutz



Children's Hospital Colorado